arXiv:1610.09313v1 [math.CV] 28 Oct 2016

# On infinitesimally weakly non-decreasable Beltrami differentials

GUOWU YAO
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University
Beijing, 100084, People's Republic of China
E-mail: gwyao@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

July 27, 2015

**Abstract**

Z. Zhou et al. proved that in a Teichmüller equivalence class, there exists an extremal quasiconformal mapping with a weakly non-decreasable dilatation. In this paper, we prove that in an infinitesimal equivalence class, there exists a weakly non-decreasable extremal Beltrami differential.

## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ be a plane domain with at least two boundary points. The Teichmüller space $T(S)$ is the space of equivalence classes of quasiconformal maps $f$ from $S$ to a variable domain $f(S)$. Two quasiconformal maps $f$ from $S$ to $f(S)$ and $g$ from $S$ to $g(S)$ are said to be equivalent, denoted by $f \sim g$, if there is a conformal map $c$ from $f(S)$ onto $g(S)$ and a homotopy through quasiconformal maps $h_t$ mapping $S$ onto $g(S)$ such that $h_0 = c \circ f$, $h_1 = g$ and $h_t(p) = c \circ f(p) = g(p)$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and every $p$ in the boundary of $S$. Denote by $[f]$ the Teichmüller equivalence class of $f$; sometimes it is more convenient to use $[\mu]$ to express the equivalence class where $\mu$ is the Beltrami differential (or the complex dilatation) of $f$ (see Chapter 5 in [1]).

Denote by $Bel(S)$ the Banach space of Beltrami differentials $\mu = \mu(z)d\bar{z}/dz$ on $S$ with finite $L^\infty$-norm and by $M(S)$ the open unit ball in $Bel(S)$.

For $\mu \in M(S)$, define

$$k_0([\mu]) = \inf\{\|\nu\|_\infty : \nu \in [\mu]\}.$$

Keywords: Teichmüller space, quasiconformal map, weakly non-decreasable, non-decreasable.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C75; 30C62.
The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11271216).

We say that $\mu$ is extremal in $[\mu]$ if $\|\mu\|_\infty = k_0([\mu])$ (the corresponding quasiconformal map $f$ is said to be extremal for its boundary values as well), and uniquely extremal if $\|\nu\|_\infty > k_0(\mu)$ for any other $\nu \in [\mu]$.

The cotangent space to $T(S)$ at the basepoint is the Banach space $Q(S)$ of integrable holomorphic quadratic differentials on $S$ with $L^1-$norm

$$\|\varphi\| = \iint_S |\varphi(z)|\, dxdy < \infty.$$

In what follows, let $Q^1(S)$ denote the unit sphere of $Q(S)$.

Two Beltrami differentials $\mu$ and $\nu$ in $Bel(S)$ are said to be infinitesimally equivalent if

$$\iint_S (\mu - \nu)\varphi\, dxdy = 0, \text{ for any } \varphi \in Q(S).$$

The tangent space $Z(S)$ of $T(S)$ at the basepoint is defined as the quotient space of $Bel(S)$ under the equivalence relation. Denote by $[\mu]_Z$ the equivalence class of $\mu$ in $Z(S)$. In particular, we use $\mathcal{N}(S)$ to denote the set of Beltrami differentials in $Bel(S)$ that is equivalent to 0.

$Z(S)$ is a Banach space and its standard sup-norm satisfies

$$\|[\mu]_Z\| := \sup_{\varphi \in Q^1(S)} Re \iint_S \mu\varphi\, dxdy = \inf\{\|\nu\|_\infty : \nu \in [\mu]_Z\}.$$

We say that $\mu$ is extremal (in $[\mu]_Z$) if $\|\mu\|_\infty = \|[\mu]_Z\|$, and uniquely extremal if $\|\nu\|_\infty > \|\mu\|_\infty$ for any other $\nu \in [\mu]_Z$.

A Beltrami differential $\mu$ (not necessarily extremal) is called to be *non-decreasable* in its class $[\mu]$ if for $\nu \in [\mu]$,

$$|\nu(z)| \le |\mu(z)| \text{ a.e. in } S, \tag{1.1}$$

implies that $\mu = \nu$; otherwise, $\mu$ is called to be *decreasable*.

The notion of non-decreasable dilatation was firstly introduced by Reich in [3] when he studied the unique extremality of quasiconformal mappings. A uniquely extremal Beltrami differential is obviously non-decreasable.

Let $\Delta$ denote the unit disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $T(\Delta)$ be the universal Teichmüller space. In [4], Shen and Chen proved the following theorem.

**Theorem A.** *For every quasiconformal mapping $f$ from $\Delta$ onto itself, there exist infinitely many quasiconformal mappings $g$ in the Teichmüller equivalence class $[f]$ of $T(\Delta)$ each of which has a non-decreasable dilatation unless $[f]$ contains a conformal mapping.*

The author [5] proved that a Teichmüller class may contain infinitely many non-decreasable extremal dilatations. The existence of a non-decreasable extremal in a class is generally unknown.

In [6], Zhou et al. defined *weakly non-decreasable dilatation* as follows. Let $\mu \in M(S)$. $\mu$ is called a *strongly decreasable dilatation* in $[\mu]$ if there exists $\nu \in [\mu]$ satisfying

the following conditions:
(A) $|\nu(z)| \leq |\mu(z)|$ for almost all $z \in S$,
(B) There exists a domain $G \subset S$ and a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\nu(z)| \leq |\mu(z)| - \delta, \text{ for almost all } z \in G.$$

Otherwise, $\mu$ is called *weakly non-decreasable*. In other words, a Beltrami differential $\mu$ is called weakly non-decreasable if either $\mu$ is non-decreasable or $\mu$ is decreasable but is not strongly decreasable.

Let $\mu \in Bel(S)$. The definition that $\mu$ is a strongly decreasable dilatation in $[\mu]_Z$ almost takes word-by-word from that $\mu$ is a strongly decreasable dilatation in $[\mu]$ except that the equivalence class $[\mu]$ is replaced by $[\mu]_Z$, so is the definition that $\mu$ is a weak non-decreasable dilatation in $[\mu]_Z$.

In [6], Zhou et. al proved the following theorem.

**Theorem B.** *For every extremal quasiconformal mapping $f$ from $\Delta$ onto itself, there exists an extremal quasiconformal mapping $g$ in the Teichmüller equivalence class $[f]$ with a weakly non-decreasable dilatation.*

The goal of the paper is to prove the counterpart of Theorem B in the infinitesimal setting.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose $[\mu]_Z \in Z(\Delta)$. Then there is a weakly non-decreasable extremal dilatation $\nu$ in $[\mu]_Z$.*

After doing some preparations in Section 2, we prove Theorem 1 in Section 3.

## 2. Some preparations

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let $\nu \in Bel(\Delta)$. Then for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $r \in (0,1)$ and $\mu \in Bel(\Delta)$ such that*
*(1) $\mu \in \mathcal{N}(\Delta)$, (2) $\mu(z) = \nu(z)$, $z \in \Delta_r$, (3) $\|\mu|_{U_r}\|_\infty < \epsilon$,*
*where $\Delta_r = \{z \in \Delta : |z| < r\}$, $r \in (0,1)$ and $U_r = \Delta \backslash \Delta_r$.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1 in [2], there exists a unique function $\beta(z)$, holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\overline{\Delta_r}$, such that

$$\mu(z) = \begin{cases} \nu(z), & z \in \Delta_r, \\ \beta(z), & z \in U_r, \end{cases}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$; namely,

$$\beta(z) = -\frac{z}{\pi(1-r^2)} \iint_{\Delta_r} \frac{\nu(z)}{\zeta - z} d\xi d\eta, \ z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\overline{\Delta_r}.$$

To complete the proof of this lemma, it is sufficient to show that $\|\beta|_{U_r}\|_\infty < \epsilon$ for small $r > 0$. We need to valuate $|\beta(z)|$ for $z \in U_r$. Let $z'$ be the intersection point of the

segment $\overline{oz}$ with the circle $\{|\zeta| = r\}$ and $B_r = \{|\zeta - z'| < \frac{r}{2}\}$. Then for $z \in U_r$,

$$|\beta(z)| = \frac{|z|}{\pi(1-r^2)}\left|\iint_{\Delta_r}\frac{\nu(z)}{\zeta - z}d\xi d\eta\right| \le \frac{\|\nu\|_\infty}{\pi(1-r^2)}\iint_{\Delta_r}\frac{1}{|\zeta - z|}d\xi d\eta$$
$$\le M\iint_{\Delta_r}\frac{1}{|\zeta - z'|}d\xi d\eta \le M\left(\iint_{B_r}\frac{1}{|\zeta - z'|}d\xi d\eta + \iint_{\Delta_r\setminus B_r}\frac{1}{|\zeta - z'|}d\xi d\eta\right)$$
$$\le M\left(\pi r + \frac{2}{r}\iint_{\Delta_r}d\xi d\eta\right) = 3M\pi r = \frac{3\pi\|\nu\|_\infty r}{\pi(1-r^2)},$$

where $M = \frac{\|\nu\|_\infty}{\pi(1-r^2)}$. This lemma follows readily. □

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\Delta(\zeta, r)$ the round disk $\{z : |z - \zeta| < r\}$ $(r > 0)$.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that $\mu \in Bel(\Delta)$. Let $\alpha \in Bel(\Delta)$. Then for any $\zeta \in \Delta$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\nu \in [\mu]_Z$ and a small $r > 0$ such that $\|\nu|_{\Delta\setminus\Delta(\zeta,r)}\|_\infty \le \|\mu\|_\infty + \epsilon$ and*

$$\nu(z) = \alpha(z), \quad \text{when } z \in \Delta(\zeta, r) = \{z : |z - \zeta| < r\}. \tag{2.1}$$

*In particular, $\nu$ vanishes on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$ when $\alpha = 0$.*

*Proof.* Choose sufficiently small $\rho > 0$ such that the disk $D = \{|z-\zeta| < \rho\}$ is contained in $\Delta$. Restrict $\mu$ on $D$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to $D$, we can find some small $r \in (0, \rho)$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{N}(D)$ such that $\chi = \alpha - \mu$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$ and $\|\chi\|_\infty < \epsilon$ on $D\setminus\Delta(\zeta, r)$. Put

$$\nu(z) = \begin{cases}\chi(z) + \mu(z), & z \in D,\\ \mu(z), & z \in \Delta\setminus D.\end{cases}$$

Then $\nu \in [\mu]_Z$ and $\nu = \alpha$ in $\Delta(\zeta, r)$. It is clear that $\|\nu|_{\Delta\setminus\Delta(\zeta,r)}\|_\infty \le \|\mu\|_\infty + \epsilon$. □

## 3. Proof of the main result

Theorem 1 follows from the following generalized theorem immediately.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose $[\mu]_Z \in Z(\Delta)$. Let $\chi \in [\mu]_Z$ and $\mathscr{U} = \{\alpha \in [\mu]_Z : |\alpha(z)| \le |\chi(z)|$ a.e. on $\Delta\}$. Then then there is a weakly non-decreasable dilatation $\nu$ in $\mathscr{U}$.*

*Proof.* A part of technique of the proof is taken from [6]. We use it in a refined way.

If $\chi$ is a weakly non-decreasable dilatation, then $\nu = \chi$ is the desired weakly non-decreasable dilatation. Otherwise, $\chi$ is strongly decreasable. By definition, there exists a Beltrami differential $\eta \in \mathscr{U}$ such that
(1) $|\eta(z)| \le |\chi(z)|$ for almost all $z \in \Delta$,
(2) there exists a small round disk $\Delta(z_0', s_0') \subset \Delta$ and a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\eta(z)| \le |\chi(z)| - \delta, \text{ for almost all } z \in \Delta(z_0', s_0').$$

Regard $[\eta|_{\Delta(z_0',s_0')}]$ as the point in the infinitesimal space $Z(\Delta(z_0',s_0'))$. Applying Lemma 2.2 for $\eta$ on $\Delta(z_0',s_0')$, we can find a Beltrami differential $\eta' \in [\eta|_{\Delta(z_0',s_0')}]_Z$ such that

$$\|\eta'\|_\infty \le \|\eta|_{\Delta(z_0',s_0')}\|_\infty + \frac{\delta}{2},$$

and $\eta'(z) = 0$ on some small disk $\Delta(z_0', r_0')$ $(r_0' < s_0')$.

Set

$$\chi_0'(z) = \begin{cases} \eta(z), & z \in \Delta\backslash\overline{\Delta(z_0', s_0')}, \\ \eta'(z), & z \in \Delta(z_0', s_0'). \end{cases}$$

Then $\chi_0' \in \mathscr{U}$ and $\chi_0'(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(z_0', r_0')$.

Let $\Lambda_0$ denote the collection of $\alpha \in [\mu]_Z$ with the following conditions:
(a) $|\alpha(z)| \le |\chi_0'(z)|$ a.e. on $\Delta$,
(b) there exists some small disk $\Delta(\zeta, r) \subset \Delta$ such that $\alpha(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$.

It is obvious that $\Lambda_0 \subset \mathscr{U}$ and $\chi_0' \in \Lambda_0$. If $\alpha \in \Lambda_0$, let

$$\rho_0(\alpha) = \sup\{r :\ \alpha(z) = 0 \text{ on some } \Delta(\zeta, r) \subset \Delta\}.$$

Put

$$\rho_0 = \sup\{\rho_0(\alpha) :\ \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}.$$

Although by a lengthy argument as done in the proof of Theorem 1 in [6], we can prove the claim that the second *sup* can be replaced by *max*, we actually need not to use the claim. The proof in [6] could have been simplified without the claim. One will see how it is below.

We proceed with the construction of a sequence of Beltrami differentials $\{\chi_n\}$ in $\mathscr{U}$.

$n = 0$) Choose $\chi_0 \in \Lambda_0$ such that $\rho_0(\chi_0) \ge r_0 := \frac{\rho_0}{2}$ and $\chi_0(z) = 0$ on some $\Delta(z_0, r_0) \subset \Delta$. If $\chi_0$ is a weakly non-decreasable dilatation, then $\nu = \chi_0$ is the desired weakly non-decreasable dilatation. Otherwise, $\chi_0$ is strongly decreasable. By definition, there exists a Beltrami differential $\eta_0 \in \mathscr{U}$ such that
(1) $|\eta_0(z)| \le |\chi_0(z)|$ for almost all $z \in \Delta$,
(2) there exists a small round disk $\Delta(z_1', s_1') \subset \Delta$ and a positive number $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\eta_0(z)| \le |\chi_0(z)| - \delta_0, \text{ for almost all } z \in \Delta(z_1', s_1').$$

Since $\chi_0(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(z_0, r_0)$, it forces that $\Delta(z_1', s_1') \subset \Delta\backslash\Delta(z_0, r_0)$. Applying Lemma 2.2 for $\eta_0$ on $\Delta(z_1', s_1')$, we can find a Beltrami differential $\chi_1' \in \mathscr{U}$ such that $\chi_1'(z) = 0$ on some small disk $\Delta(z_1', r_1')$ $(r_1' < s_1')$.

Let $\Lambda_1$ denote the collection of $\alpha \in [\mu]_Z$ with the following conditions:
(a) $|\alpha(z)| \le |\chi_0(z)|$ a.e. on $\Delta$,
(b) there exists some small disk $\Delta(\zeta, r) \subset \Delta\backslash\Delta(z_0, r_0)$ such that $\alpha(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$.

It is obvious that $\Lambda_1 \subset \mathscr{U}$ and $\chi_1' \in \Lambda_1$. If $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$, let

$$\rho_1(\alpha) = \sup\{r :\ \alpha(z) = 0 \text{ on some } \Delta(\zeta, r) \subset \Delta\backslash\Delta(z_0, r_0)\}.$$

Put

$$\rho_1 = \sup\{\rho_1(\alpha) :\ \alpha \in \Lambda_1\}.$$

$n=1$) Choose $\chi_1 \in \Lambda_1$ such that $\rho_0(\chi_1) \geq r_1 := \frac{\rho_1}{2}$ and

$$\chi_1(z) = 0 \text{ on some } \Delta(z_1, r_1) \subset \Delta \backslash \Delta(z_0, r_0).$$

If $\chi_1$ is a weakly non-decreasable dilatation, then let $\nu = \chi_1$. Otherwise, $\chi_1$ is strongly decreasable. By definition, there exists a Beltrami differential $\eta_1 \in \mathscr{U}$ such that
(1) $|\eta_1(z)| \leq |\chi_1(z)|$ for almost all $z \in \Delta$,
(2) there exists a small round disk $\Delta(z_2', s_2') \subset \Delta$ and a positive number $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$|\eta_1(z)| \leq |\chi_1(z)| - \delta_1, \text{ for almost all } z \in \Delta(z_2', s_2').$$

Since $\chi_1(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(z_0, r_0) \cup \Delta(z_1, r_1)$, it forces that

$$\Delta(z_2', s_2') \subset \Delta \backslash (\Delta(z_0, r_0) \cup \Delta(z_1, r_1)).$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 for $\eta_1$ on $\Delta(z_2', s_2')$, we can find a Beltrami differential $\chi_2' \in \mathscr{U}$ such that $\chi_2'(z) = 0$ on small disk $\Delta(z_2', r_2')$ $(r_2' < s_2')$.

Let $\Lambda_2$ denote the collection of $\alpha \in [\mu]_Z$ with the following conditions:
(a) $|\alpha(z)| \leq |\chi_1(z)|$ a.e. on $\Delta$,
(b) there exists some small disk $\Delta(\zeta, r) \subset \Delta \backslash (\Delta(z_0, r_0) \cup \Delta(z_1, r_1))$ such that $\alpha(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$.

It is obvious that $\Lambda_2 \subset \mathscr{U}$ and $\chi_2' \in \Lambda_2$. If $\alpha \in \Lambda_2$, let

$$\rho_2(\alpha) = \sup\{r : \ \alpha(z) = 0 \text{ on some } \Delta(\zeta, r) \subset \Delta \backslash (\Delta(z_0, r_0) \cup \Delta(z_1, r_1))\}.$$

Put

$$\rho_2 = \sup\{\rho_2(\alpha) : \ \alpha \in \Lambda_2\}.$$

$n \to n+1$) If we can choose a weakly non-decreasable dilatation $\chi_n \in \Lambda_n$, then let $\nu = \chi_n$. Otherwise, proceeding as above, we find four sequences, $\{\chi_n \in \mathscr{U}\}$, $\{\Lambda_n \subset \mathscr{U}\}$, $\{\rho_n \in (0,1)\}$, $\{\Delta(z_n, r_n) \subset \Delta\}$ $(r_n = \frac{\rho_n}{2})$ as follows.

$\chi_n \in \Lambda_n$ satisfies $\rho_n(\chi_n) \geq r_n := \frac{\rho_n}{2}$ and

$$\chi_n(z) = 0 \text{ on some } \Delta(z_n, r_n) \subset \Delta \backslash (\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \Delta(z_k, r_k)).$$

$\Lambda_{n+1}$ is the collection of $\alpha \in [\mu]_Z$ with the following conditions:
(a) $|\alpha(z)| \leq |\chi_n(z)|$ a.e. on $\Delta$,
(b) there exists some small disk $\Delta(\zeta, r) \subset \Delta \backslash (\bigcup_{k=0}^{n} \Delta(z_k, r_k))$ such that $\alpha(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$.

Since $\chi_n$ is not a weakly non-decreasable dilatation in $\mathscr{U}$, $\Lambda_{n+1}$ is not void by the foregoing reason. If $\alpha \in \Lambda_{n+1}$, let

$$\rho_{n+1}(\alpha) = \sup\{r : \ \alpha(z) = 0 \text{ on } \Delta(\zeta, r) \subset \Delta \backslash (\bigcup_{k=0}^{n} \Delta(z_k, r_k))\}.$$

Put

$$\rho_{n+1} = \sup\{\rho_{n+1}(\alpha) : \ \alpha \in \Lambda_{n+1}\}.$$

It is clear that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \rho_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $B_n = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n} \Delta(z_k, r_k)$, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$. Then

$$B = \lim_{n\to\infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta(z_n, r_n) \subset \Delta.$$

By the *-weak compactness, there exists a subsequence of $\{\chi_n\}$, still denoted by $\{\chi_n\}$, which converges to a limit $\nu \in Bel(\Delta)$ in the *-weak topology, that is, for any $\phi \in L^1(\Delta)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \iint_{\Delta} \chi_n(z)\phi(z)dxdy = \iint_{\Delta} \nu(z)\phi(z)dxdy. \tag{3.2}$$

Now when $\phi \in Q(\Delta)$, since $\chi_n \in [\mu]_Z$, we have

$$\iint_{\Delta} \chi_n(z)\phi(z)dxdy = \iint_{\Delta} \mu(z)\phi(z)dxdy.$$

We have

$$\iint_{\Delta} \nu(z)\phi(z)dxdy = \iint_{\Delta} \mu(z)\phi(z)dxdy$$

for all $\phi \in Q(\Delta)$ and hence $\nu \in [\mu]_Z$. On the other hand, since $\chi_n$ converges to $\nu$ in the *-weak topology, it follows by the standard functional analysis theory that

$$\|\nu\|_\infty \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|\chi_n\|_\infty = k.$$

*Claim.* $\nu \in \mathscr{U}$ and $\nu$ is a weakly non-decreasable dilatation.

Firstly, by the inductive construction, we see that $|\chi_{n+1}(z)| \le |\chi_n(z)|$ a.e. on $\Delta$. So we have

$$|\nu(z)| \le \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} |\chi_n(z)|, \ a.e.\ z \in \Delta. \tag{3.3}$$

Since each $\chi_n$ belongs to $\mathscr{U}$ and $\chi_n(z) = 0$ on $B_n$, we have $\nu \in \mathscr{U}$ and $\nu(z) = 0$ on $B$ by (3.3).

Secondly, we show that $\nu$ is a weakly non-decreasable dilatation. Suppose to the contrary. Then there exists a Beltrami differential $\eta \in \mathscr{U}$ such that
(1) $|\eta(z)| \le |\nu(z)|$ for almost all $z \in \Delta$,
(2) there exists a small round disk $\Delta(\zeta, r') \subset \Delta$ and a positive number $\delta' > 0$ such that

$$|\eta(z)| \le |\nu(z)| - \delta', \text{ for almost all } z \in \Delta(\zeta, r').$$

Since $\nu(z) = 0$ on $B$, it forces that $\Delta(\zeta, r') \subset \Delta\backslash B$. Applying Lemma 2.2 for $\eta$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r')$, we can find a Beltrami differential $\nu' \in \mathscr{U}$ and some small disk $\Delta(\zeta, r) \subset \Delta\backslash B$ $(r < r')$ such that $\nu'(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r') \cup B$. It is obvious that $\nu'$ belongs to $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_n$. However, by (3.1) it contradicts the choice of $\chi_n$. The claim is proved, so is the theorem. □

## References

[1] F. P. Gardiner and N. Lakic, *Quasiconformal Teichmüller Theory,* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 76, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2000.

[2] E. Reich, *An extremum problem for analytic functions with area norm,* Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. 2 (1976), 429-445.

[3] E. Reich, *Extremal extensions from the circle to the disk,* in Quasiconformal Mappings and Analysis, A Collection of Papers Honoring F. W. Gehring, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin Heidelberg, 1997, **pp.** 321-335.

[4] Y. Shen and J. Chen, *Quasiconformal mappings with non-decreasable dilatations,* Chinese J. Contemp. Math. 23 (2002), 265-276.

[5] G. W. Yao, *Unique extremality, local extremality and extremal non-decreasable dilatations,* Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 75 (2007), 321-329.

[6] Z. Zhou, S. Zhang and J. Chen, *On weakly non-decreasable quasiconformal mappings,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 386 (2012), 842-847.